

1

2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

4

5 SHAWN KEVIN FROST,
6 Plaintiff,

7 v.

8 CLARK E. DUCART, et al.,
9 Defendants.

10

11 Plaintiff has filed motions for appointment of counsel in this prisoner civil rights action
12 under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Dkts. 18, 21. There is no constitutional right to counsel in a civil case
13 unless an indigent litigant may lose his physical liberty if he loses the litigation. *See Lassiter v.*
14 *Dep't of Soc. Servs.*, 452 U.S. 18, 25 (1981). The court may seek counsel to represent an indigent
15 litigant under 28 U.S.C. § 1915 only in “exceptional circumstances,” the determination of which
16 requires an evaluation of both (1) the likelihood of success on the merits, and (2) the ability of the
17 plaintiff to articulate his claims *pro se* in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. *See id.* at 1525;
18 *Terrell v. Brewer*, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); *Wilborn v. Escalderon*, 789
19 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986). Both of these factors must be viewed together before reaching a
20 decision on a request for counsel under section 1915. *See id.*

21

22 The Court is unable to assess at this time whether exceptional circumstances exist which
23 would warrant seeking volunteer counsel to accept a *pro bono* appointment. The proceedings are
24 at an early stage and it is premature for the Court to determine Plaintiff’s likelihood of success on
25 the merits. Moreover, Plaintiff has been able to articulate his claims adequately *pro se* in light of
26 the complexity of the issues involved. *See Agyeman v. Corrs. Corp. of Am.*, 390 F.3d 1101, 1103
27 (9th Cir. 2004). Accordingly, the request for appointment of counsel is DENIED without
28 prejudice.¹

29

¹ The Court may of course consider appointing of counsel later in the proceedings; that is, after Defendants have filed their dispositive motion. At that time, the Court will be in a better

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1 This Order terminates Docket Nos. 18 and 21.
2

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.
4

5 Dated: June 17, 2019
6



YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
United States District Court Judge

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

position to consider the procedural and substantive matters at issue. Plaintiff may therefore file a renewed motion for the appointment of counsel after Defendants' dispositive motion has been filed.